arXiv:1303.4251v2 [math.CA] 26 Mar 2013

AN APPROXIMATION INEQUALITY FOR CONTINUED RADICALS

SOUMENDU SUNDAR MUKHERJEE

Abstract. In this article we derive an approximation inequality for continued radicals, generalizing an inequality of Herschfeld [3] for continued square roots to arbitrary radicals, which is useful in exploring convergence issues and obtaining convergence rates.

1. Introduction

Continued radicals aka infinitely nested radicals are infinite constructs of the forms

$$\sqrt[r_1]{a_1 + \sqrt[r_2]{a_2 + \sqrt[r_3]{a_3 + \cdots}}} \tag{1}$$

or

$$\cdots \sqrt[r_3]{a_3 + \sqrt[r_2]{a_2 + \sqrt[r_1]{a_1}}}, \tag{2}$$

the first one being a "right" radical and the second one "left". Following Jones [4] let us call $\sqrt[r_1]{a_1 + \sqrt[r_2]{a_2 + \sqrt[r_3]{a_3 + \cdots + \sqrt[r_n]{a_n}}}}$ or $\sqrt[r_n]{a_n + \cdots \sqrt[r_3]{a_3 + \sqrt[r_2]{a_2 + \sqrt[r_1]{a_1}}}}$ the n^{th} *approximants* of the radicals (1) or (2). For left radicals, there is a recurrence relation connecting the successive approximants. Right radicals lack this property and to compute their approximants one needs to start from the tail-end, i.e., $\sqrt[r_n]{a_n}$ at each step (as Jones [4] puts it, they have an end but no beginning!). This and the inherent non-linearity complicates their analysis considerably and perhaps for that reason continued radicals have not been investigated as thoroughly as their other infinite counterparts like infinite series, infinite products or continued fractions. Moreover almost all the existing results assume the a_n to be non-negative (however, see the amusing article [10], where the authors consider certain mixed type square roots, and establish a number of beautiful formulas). Continued radicals with negative or more generally complex a_n remain in a little-explored territory.

In this article we shall consider continued right radicals with non-negative input sequence $\{a_n\}$. Convergence questions about such radicals appear in Pólya and Szegő's classic [6]. To fix notations let

$$u_n = \sqrt{a_1 + \sqrt{a_2 + \cdots + \sqrt{a_n}}} \tag{3}$$

Date: March 26, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 40A25, Secondary: 40A05, 26D20.

Key words and phrases. Continued radicals, Rate of convergence, Triangular array, Continued power forms.

Supported by the KVPY fellowhip, funded by the Department of Science & Technology, Government of India.

be the n^{th} approximant to the the right continued square root

$$\sqrt{a_1 + \sqrt{a_2 + \sqrt{a_3 + \cdots}}} \tag{4}$$

with non-negative input sequence $\{a_n\}$. More generally let

$$v_n = \sqrt[r_1]{a_1 + \sqrt[r_2]{a_2 + \cdots + \sqrt[r_n]{a_n}}} \tag{5}$$

be the n^{th} approximant to the general continued right radical

$$\sqrt[r_1]{a_1 + \sqrt[r_2]{a_2 + \sqrt[r_3]{a_3 + \cdots}}} \tag{6}$$

where again the inputs a_n are non-negative reals and r_n are positive integers. We make a note that $\{v_n\}$ is a monotonic non-decreasing sequence of non-negative reals. Problem 162 of [6] considers the sequence $\{u_n\}$ with $a_n > 0$ for all n. It states the following criterion for convergence/divergence.

Proposition 1.1. *Let*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log\log a_n}{n} = \alpha.$$

Then $\{u_n\}$ converges if $\alpha > 2$ and diverges if $\alpha < 2$.

Here if $a_n \leq 1$ for some n then Pólya and Szegő's convention is to interpret $\frac{\log\log a_n}{n}$ as $-\infty$.

Problem 163, a continuation of Problem 162, asks the reader to show the following

Proposition 1.2. *The sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges if the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} a_n (a_1 \cdots a_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

converges.

Proposition 1.2 follows from the following inequality (see [3, 6]).

Proposition 1.3 (Pólya-Szegő). *For each $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$u_{n+1} - u_n \leq \frac{\sqrt{a_{n+1}}}{2^n \sqrt{a_1}\sqrt{a_2}\cdots\sqrt{a_n}}. \tag{7}$$

Herschfeld [3], in a comprehensive study, established necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence of both right and left continued square roots. As we are interested with right radicals only, we reproduce Herschfeld's criterion for right square roots.

Theorem 1.1 (Herschfeld, 1935). *The sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges if and only if*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{2^{-n}} < \infty.$$

It is a good exercise in classical real analysis to establish Theorem 1.1 and show that it encompasses the Pólya-Szegő criterion in Proposition 1.1. See [1, 4, 5, 9] for other interesting and useful convergence criteria, including a rediscovery of Herschfeld's criterion in [9].

In [3] Herschfeld derived an inequality stronger than the one in Proposition 1.3. We present a slightly modified statement here.

Theorem 1.2 (Herschfeld, 1935)**.** *We have for each $n \geq 1$,*

$$u_{n+1} - u_n \leq \frac{\sqrt{a_{n+1}}}{2^n \sqrt{a_1 + \cdots \sqrt{a_n}}\sqrt{a_2 + \cdots + \sqrt{a_n}} \cdots \sqrt{a_n}} \tag{8}$$

where of course all the a_n are assumed to be positive.

From Theorem 1.2 one readily obtains Proposition 1.3 because $\sqrt{a_i + \cdots \sqrt{a_n}} \geq \sqrt{a_i}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Herschfeld used the following elementary inequality repeatedly to obtain the inequality in Theorem 1.2:

$$\sqrt{a + x} \leq \sqrt{a} + \frac{x}{2\sqrt{a}}, \text{ where } a > 0 \leq x. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 1.2 may be used to infer about the rate of convergence of the sequence $\{u_n\}$.

2. An Inequality for General Continued Radicals

In this section we shall obtain an inequality similar to the one in Theorem 1.2 for the more general sequence $\{v_n\}$ defined in (5). This will yield Theorem 1.2 as a special case. The first thing to observe is this: since we are considering general right radicals, we may well assume, with no loss of generality, that $a_n > 0$ for all n. Let us give an example to illustrate this point. Suppose $a_1, a_3, a_4 > 0$ and $a_2 = 0$. Clearly, we may write

$$\sqrt[r_1]{a_1 + \sqrt[r_2]{a_2 + \sqrt[r_3]{a_3 + \sqrt[r_4]{a_4} + \cdots}}} = \sqrt[r'_1]{a'_1 + \sqrt[r'_2]{a'_2 + \sqrt[r'_3]{a'_3 + \cdots}}},$$

where $r'_1 = r_1$, $a'_1 = a_1$, $r'_2 = r_2 r_3$, $a'_2 = a_3$, and for $i \geq 3$, $r'_i = r'_{i+1}$, $a'_i = a_{i+1}$. It is evident that this procedure may be performed suitably to eliminate all zero inputs from consideration without changing the "value" of the radical. So, henceforth we will assume that $a_n > 0$ for all n.

It is an interesting fact that continued right radicals have a triangular-array type structure when it comes to computation. Indeed one can construct a triangular array $\{t_{i,n}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n,\ n \geq 1}$ where

$$t_{i,n} = \sqrt[n+1-i]{a_{n+1-i} + \cdots + \sqrt[r_n]{a_n}}, \tag{10}$$

so that the diagonals $\{t_{n,n}\}$ form the sequence of the n^{th} approximants. To compute $t_{n,n}$ one starts with $t_{1,n} = \sqrt[r_n]{a_n}$ and successively computes $t_{i,n} = \sqrt[n+1-i]{a_{n+1-i} + t_{i-1,n}}$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. To relate $v_n = t_{n,n}$ to $t_{1,n}$, we need a device to invert this sequence of operations. This motivates us to introduce certain *"deradicating"* functions as follows. Let $f_0(y) := y$ and for $k \geq 1$ recursively define

$$f_k(y) := f_{k-1}(y)^{r_k} - a_k. \tag{11}$$

The deradicating functions f_j map the diagonal term $t_{n,n}$ to the preceding terms of the n^{th} row of the array, i.e. one has $f_j(t_{n,n}) = t_{n-j,n}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. With these functions in hand, we are able to state the following generalization of the inequality in Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 2.1. *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$v_{n+1} - v_n \leq \frac{\sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i \{f_{i-1}(v_n)\}^{r_i - 1}}. \tag{12}$$

When $r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r$, say, this becomes

$$v_{n+1} - v_n \leq \frac{\sqrt[r]{a_{n+1}}}{r^n \prod_{i=1}^{n} \{f_{i-1}(v_n)\}^{r-1}}. \tag{13}$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we need the following key identity.

Lemma 2.1. *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$v_{n+1} - v_n = \frac{\sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r_i-1} f_{i-1}(v_{n+1})^j f_{i-1}(v_n)^{r_i-1-j}\right)}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. As already observed, we have

$$f_j(v_n) = t_{n-j,n} = \sqrt[r_{j+1}]{a_{j+1} + \cdots + \sqrt[r_n]{a_n}}, \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, n-1. \tag{15}$$

Similarly,

$$f_{n-1}(v_{n+1}) = \sqrt[r_n]{a_n + \sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}}$$

Therefore,

$$f_{n-1}(v_{n+1})^{r_n} - f_{n-1}(v_n)^{r_n} = \sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}$$

i.e.

$$(f_{n-1}(v_{n+1}) - f_{n-1}(v_n))\left(\sum_{j=0}^{r_n-1} f_{n-1}(v_{n+1})^j f_{n-1}(v_n)^{r_n-1-j}\right) = \sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}. \tag{16}$$

Now using the identity

$$f_i(x) - f_i(y) = f_{i-1}(x)^{r_i} - f_{i-1}(y)^{r_i} = (f_{i-1}(x) - f_{i-1}(y))\left(\sum_{j=0}^{r_i-1} f_{i-1}(x)^j f_{i-1}(y)^{r_i-1-j}\right)$$

repeatedly to the LHS of (16) we get

$$(f_0(v_{n+1}) - f_0(v_n)) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{r_i-1} f_{i-1}(v_{n+1})^j f_{i-1}(v_n)^{r_i-1-j}\right) = \sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}.$$

Noting that $f_0(v_{n+1}) - f_0(v_n) = v_{n+1} - v_n$ one finally obtains the desired identity. ☐

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First note that the f_i are increasing functions of y (proof: f_0 is increasing and the definitions of the f_i may be used in an induction argument). Also clearly $v_{n+1} \geq v_n$. Therefore, $f_i(v_{n+1}) \geq f_i(v_n)$ for each $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ and hence,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r_i-1} f_{i-1}(v_{n+1})^j f_{i-1}(v_n)^{r_i-1-j} \geq r_i f_{i-1}(v_n)^{r_i-1}.$$

Combining this observation with identity (14) the proof is complete. ☐

Theorem 2.1 yields the following weaker inequality which can be considered as a generalization of Corollary 1.3.

Corollary 2.1. *For $n \geq 1$*

$$v_{n+1} - v_n \leq \frac{\sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i a_i^{\frac{r_i-1}{r_i}}}. \tag{17}$$

Proof. The identities in (15) imply that for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ one has $f_i(v_n) \geq \sqrt[r_{i+1}]{a_{i+1}}$. Using this in Theorem 2.1 completes the proof. ☐

Specializing to the case $r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = 2$ one recovers Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 immediately. It is a curious fact that for $r_i = 1$, the radicals become infinite series, and the inequality of Corollary 2.1 reduces to the (sharpest possible!) statement that $a_{n+1} \leq a_{n+1}$.

Following Corollary 2.1 Proposition 1.2 generalizes in a natural way to

Theorem 2.2. *The sequence $\{v_n\}$ converges if the series*

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i a_i^{\frac{r_i-1}{r_i}} \right)^{-1}$$

converges.

Proof. We show that under the hypothesis $\{v_n\}$ is Cauchy. Let us denote the partial sums of the series S by S_n with $S_0 := 0$. As $\{v_n\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence, we have for $m > n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < v_m - v_n &= \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} (v_{k+1} - v_k) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \sqrt[r_{k+1}]{a_{k+1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} r_i a_i^{\frac{r_i-1}{r_i}} \right)^{-1} \\ &< \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \sqrt[r_{k+1}]{a_{k+1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} r_i a_i^{\frac{r_i-1}{r_i}} \right)^{-1} \\ &= S - S_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the tail sum of the convergent series S. Hence, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $N \geq 1$ sufficiently large such that for all $n \geq N$ we have $S - S_{n-1} < \varepsilon$. Then for any $m > n \geq N$, we have

$$0 < v_m - v_n \leq S - S_{n-1} < \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. ☐

3. Further Generalizations

Theorem 2.1 can be generalized to include a more general class of continued right radicals:

$$w_n = b_1 \sqrt[r_1]{a_1 + b_2 \sqrt[r_2]{a_2 + \cdots + b_n \sqrt[r_n]{a_n}}}, \tag{18}$$

where the a_j, b_j are positive reals. Note that one can reduce w_n to the form v_n by bringing the b_j "inside", i.e. one can write

$$w_n = \sqrt[r_1]{c_1 + \sqrt[r_2]{c_2 + \cdots + \sqrt[r_n]{c_n}}}, \tag{19}$$

where $c_i := b_1^{r_1\cdots r_i} b_2^{r_2\cdots r_i}\cdots b_i^{r_i} a_i$. Now Theorem 2.1 (Corollary 2.1) can be applied to w_n. For computational purposes the following recurrence relation is useful:

$$c_i = a_i\left(\frac{c_{i-1}b_i}{a_{i-1}}\right)^{r_i}, \text{ for } i \geq 1, \text{ and we define } a_0 = b_0 = 1. \tag{20}$$

Another way to obtain an inequality would be to follow the proof of Theorem 2.1 and define the deradicating functions f_j suitably. This approach gives a simpler expression for the bound in terms of the a_j, b_j. If we define $f_0(y) = y$ and for $k \geq 1$

$$f_k(y) := \left(\frac{f_{k-1}(y)}{b_k}\right)^{r_k} - a_k,$$

and proceed as in Lemma 2.1, we have the following

Lemma 3.1. *For $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$w_{n+1} - w_n = \frac{b_{n+1}\sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i^{r_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{r_i-1} f_{i-1}(w_{n+1})^j f_{i-1}(w_n)^{r_i-1-j}\right)}, \tag{21}$$

of which Lemma 2.1 is a special case. In the spirit of Theorem 2.1, this identity yields the following

Theorem 3.1. *For $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$w_{n+1} - w_n \leq \frac{b_{n+1}\sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i^{r_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i\{f_{i-1}(w_n)\}^{r_i-1}}. \tag{22}$$

The corresponding Pólya-Szegő type version is

Corollary 3.1. *For $n \geq 1$,*

$$w_{n+1} - w_n \leq \frac{b_{n+1}\sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i a_i^{\frac{r_i-1}{r_i}}}. \tag{23}$$

On taking $b_i = 1$ in (22)-(23) one recovers Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 respectively. Generalization of Theorem 2.2 for the sequence $\{w_n\}$ is a straightforward exercise.

Theorem 3.2. *The sequence $\{w_n\}$ converges if the series*

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+1}\sqrt[r_{n+1}]{a_{n+1}}\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i\left(r_i a_i^{\frac{r_i-1}{r_i}}\right)^{-1}$$

converges.

4. Some Examples

Example 4.1. *Consider the case* $r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r \geq 2$. *Let* $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a > 0$, $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b > 0$. *Then we have the following infinite radical*

$$b\sqrt[r]{a + b\sqrt[r]{a + \cdots}}. \tag{24}$$

This type of radicals with $r = 2$ *have been considered in* [10], *where the authors derive many interesting properties of these radicals (e.g. representation of rationals by such radicals). Using inequality (23) we have*

$$0 \leq w_{n+1} - w_n \leq cs^n, \text{ with } c = ba^{\frac{1}{r}} \text{ and } s = \frac{b}{ra^{\frac{r-1}{r}}}.$$

Suppose a, b, r *are such that* $s < 1$. *So, we have for* $m > n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq w_m - w_n &= \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} (w_{k+1} - w_k) \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} s^k \\ &< cs^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k = \frac{cs^n}{1-s}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\{w_n\}$ *is Cauchy and hence convergent. Denoting the limit by* w *and letting* $m \to \infty$ *we obtain*

$$0 \leq w - w_n \leq \frac{cs^n}{1-s}.$$

Thus the convergence rate is at least geometric.

Example 4.2. *Let us consider the radical*

$$1 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt[3]{3 + \sqrt[4]{4 + \cdots}}}. \tag{25}$$

Here $r_n = a_n = n$. *From Corollary 2.1 we have*

$$0 \leq v_{n+1} - v_n \leq \frac{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}{n! \prod_{i=1}^{n} i^{1-\frac{1}{i}}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} i^{\frac{1}{i}}}{(n!)^2} \leq \frac{(3^{\frac{1}{3}})^{n+1}}{(n!)^2}.$$

For $n \geq 2$ *one may use the weaker estimate*

$$v_{n+1} - v_n \leq \frac{3^{\frac{1}{3}}}{n!}.$$

Using this and the well-known estimate $e - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} < \frac{1}{nn!}$ *(see, for example,* [8]*), it is an easy exercise to show that for* $n \geq 2$,

$$0 \leq v - v_n \leq \frac{3^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(n-1)(n-1)!}.$$

A slightly modified version of the above radical is the interesting looking

$$1+2\sqrt{2+3\sqrt[3]{3+4\sqrt[4]{4+\cdots}}}. \tag{26}$$

For this radical $a_n = b_n = r_n = n$ and inequality (23) yields

$$0 \le w_{n+1} - w_n \le \frac{(n+1)!(n+1)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}{n!\prod_{i=1}^{n} i^{1-\frac{1}{i}}} = \frac{(n+1)\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} i^{\frac{1}{i}}}{n!} \le \frac{(n+1)(3^{\frac{1}{3}})^{n+1}}{n!}.$$

For $n \ge 4$, $n! > 2^n$. So, for such n we have

$$w_{n+1} - w_n < 3^{\frac{1}{3}}(n+1)\left(\frac{3^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2}\right)^n.$$

Writing $s = \frac{3^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2} < 1$, we have for $m > n \ge 4$,

$$0 \le w_m - w_n < 3^{\frac{1}{3}}\sum_{i=n}^{m-1}(i+1)s^i < 3^{\frac{1}{3}}\sum_{i=n}^{\infty}(i+1)s^i = \frac{2s^{n+1}}{(1-s)^2}[1+n(1-s)].$$

Hence, for $n \ge 4$,

$$0 \le w - w_n \le \frac{2s^{n+1}}{(1-s)^2}[1+n(1-s)].$$

The estimates in Example 4.2 are crude and used for illustrative purposes only. For example we have used the well known fact that the sequence $\{\sqrt[n]{n}\}$ achieves its maximum at $n = 3$. Better estimates are available, e.g., for all $n \ge 1$ one has

$$n^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Note also that we have indirectly verified the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 in both the examples above.

Example 4.3. *Our last example demonstrates that the bound provided by the generalized Pólya-Szegő type inequality (23) is not quite sharp. It fails to give nontrivial bounds for the famous Ramanujan radical* [7]

$$\sqrt{1+2\sqrt{1+3\sqrt{1+\cdots}}}. \tag{27}$$

In 1911, the great Indian mathematician Srinivasa Ramanujan posed the question to find its value in the Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society. When no answer arrived after six months, he published the solution. With an ingenious manipulation he "showed" that the value of the above radical is 3. *Ramanujan's argument was incomplete, but the answer was indeed correct. For a rigorous proof see* [3] *and also* [2] *for further commentary on this radical. In our notation this radical has $a_n = 1$, $b_n = n$ and $r_n = 2$. So inequality (23) yields*

$$w_{n+1} - w_n \le \frac{(n+1)!}{2^n},$$

which is clearly trivial.

This failure is inherent in inequality (23) itself. Heuristically one expects that whenever the b_n are "large" compared to the a_n, the bound provided by inequality (23) will be less precise. The last two examples of this section support this heuristic.

5. Discussions and Questions

The most crucial part in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is identity (14). One can get stronger inequalities than the one in Theorem 2.1 by using better lower bounds for the denominator in the RHS of identity (14), but they will be even more unwieldy. As is expected, the weaker inequality of Corollary 2.1 offers the most tractable estimates. Although it (and its more general relative (23)) does not give nontrivial estimates always, as seen in the previous section. Theorem 2.1 might help in some special problems but in general it does not give manageable expressions.

Question 1: What would be a general strategy to obtain nontrivial (and manageable) upper bounds for $w - w_n$ when the b_n are "large" compared to the a_n?

Continued radicals generalize to continued power forms

$$(a_1 + (a_2 + (a_3 + \cdots)^{p_3})^{p_2})^{p_1}, \tag{28}$$

where the p_j are allowed to take *any* real value. Herschfeld [3] stated a generalized version of his convergence criterion for such power forms when $p_j \in (0, 1]$.

Theorem 5.1 (Herschfeld, 1935)**.** *Let*

$$t_n = (a_1 + (a_2 + \cdots + a_n^{p_n})^{p_2})^{p_1}, \tag{29}$$

where $p_j \in (0, 1]$ for each $j \geq 1$ and suppose that the series

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_1 \cdots p_i$$

converges. Then the sequence $\{t_n\}$ converges if and only if

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n^{p_1 \cdots p_n} < \infty.$$

Jones [4] developed a ratio test type sufficient condition for the convergence of continued power forms with $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p > 1$. It would be an interesting problem to find approximation inequalities similar to the one in Theorem 2.1 for these general power forms (at least when $p_j \in (0, 1)$). However, note that the proof of Theorem 2.1 works only for positive integral r_j.

Question 2: Can Theorem 2.1 be suitably generalized to encompass the continued power form (28)?

6. Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to Prof. Debapriya Sengupta for many useful discussions.

REFERENCES

[1] J. M. Borwein, G. de Barra, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 98, No. 8 (Oct., 1991), pp. 735-739.

[2] B.C. Brendt, Y. Choi, S. Kang, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 00, 1997, pp. 6-7.

[3] A. Herschfeld, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 42, No. 7 (Aug.-Sep., 1935), pp. 419-429.

[4] D. J. Jones, Fibonnaci Quarterly 29 (1991), pp. 37-46.

[5] D. J. Jones, Mathematics Magazine, Vol. 68, No. 5 (Dec., 1995), pp. 387-392.

[6] G. Pólya, G. Szegő, Problems and Theorems in Analysis I, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

[7] Question 289, Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society III (1911) 90.

[8] W. Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, Third edition, McGraw-Hill, 1976.

[9] W. S. Sizer, Mathematics magazine, Vol. 59, No. 1 (Feb., 1986), pp. 23-27.

[10] S. Zimmerman, C. Ho, Mathematics Magazine, Vol. 81, No. 1 (Feb., 2008), pp. 3-15

APPLIED STATISTICS UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, KOLKATA 700108
E-mail address: `soumendu041@gmail.com`